

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,423	10/31/2003	Ken G. Pomaranski	200209704-1	2068	
22879	7590 10/17/2006 EXAMINER		INER		
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD			CHERRY, S	CHERRY, STEPHEN J	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
FORT COLI	LINS, CO 80527-2400		2863	<del> </del>	

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/699,423	POMARANSKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Stephen J. Cherry	2863	

	Otophone: energy	2000	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 06 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or	or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.	
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	'06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension in ally set in the final Office action; or	n fee (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the da	te of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the appeal. S	Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			c
<ul><li>(c)   ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li></ul>			ior
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324)	).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		Const. Standard and and analysis	41
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an explanation	ot
Claim(s) allowed: <u>19-23</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2-4</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5-10 and 13-18</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected. 1,3-10 and 13-13.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11 and 12.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entere vit or other evidence is necessary	ed y and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails to provid	le a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			,
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance becaus	se:
12. $\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13.  Other:			

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Although applicant has amended claim 1 to include subject matter indicated as allowable, claim 3 is dependent upon cancelled claim 2, rendering the claim indefinite..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has requested that the finality of the Office Action dated 7-24-2006 be withdrawn; however, the rejections in the Office Action were made in response to claim amendments, therefore the finality of the action is deemed proper. Furthermore, applicant is requested to review the following web link that contains the text of an OG announcement regarding the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejec, which requires a recitation of a tangible result: <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/sol/og/2005/week47/patgupa.htm">http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/sol/og/2005/week47/patgupa.htm</a>.

Addionally, applicant argues that because the MPEP 2173.05 (u) states that "The presence of a trademark of trade name in a claim is not, per se, improper." Applicant is invited to review the second paragraph of the particular section of the MPEP, which states that if a trademark or trade name is used as a limitation, it is indefinite. I2C bus is Registration Number 1928918.

John Barlow Sepenvisory Parent Examiner

Technology Center 2800